UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISON

FILED 2014 JUN 12 A 8:33

J.S. SAMAKATICY CLOKE E.D. MICHIGANTUETKOTT

v

Creditor/Objector,

In re: City OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN AND EMERGENCY MANAGER KEVYN D. ORR

Debtor/

Chapter 9 Case No. 13-53846 Judge Steven W Rhodes

Case No. 14-cv-10434 Hon. Bernard A. Freidman Magistrate Paul J. Komives

SUPPLEMENTAL OBJECTIONS TO THE VOTING BALLOT AND THE PROCESS

THE NOTICE IS AFTER THE FACT, UNTIMELY, CONTRARY TO THE FACTS AND MISREPRESENTATION OF THE BANK-RUPTCY CODE AND RULES AND HAS NOT BEEN PRESENTED IN A GOOD FAITH MANNER.

We /I object to the voting ballot and the process for the following reasons:

- 1) We/I object to the voting ballot because it doesn't have a Yes or No vote. It only has a yes votes. If you check this box we will take this much of your money and if you vote the other plan we will take more.
- 2) We/I object to the voting process because you only have a yes vote, which you agree to allow the city to reduce your pension.

- 3) We/I object to the ballot information because of the deception of 4% is really 20%.
- 4) We/I object to the counting of the ballot by Jones Day Law Firm who represent the City of Detroit.
- 5) We/I object to plan because if you vote yes you give up your constitutional guarantee pension under Article IX Section 24 of Michigan State Constitution.
- 6) We/I object to the plan because the state has withheld and refuse to pay Revenue Sharing Funds.
- 7) We/I object to plan and the vote because the whole bankruptcy is illegal because the state through the Emergency Manager, Kevyn Orr filed for bankruptcy and not the City of Detroit, without the city consent and approval.

Sincerely Submitted)

name

Address

City, State and Zip Code

Date